Closed Loop Integrals and $\nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}$ Dr. Elliott Jacobs



If $\vec{\mathbf{F}}$ is conservative, it's line integral between two points \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{Q} is path independent

$$\int_{C} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \cdot d\vec{\mathbf{r}} = \phi(\mathbf{Q}) - \phi(\mathbf{P})$$

$$\mathbf{Q}$$

$$\mathbf{P} \quad \mathbf{C}$$

If the path C is a closed loop then $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q}$ and the integral is 0.

$$\oint_C \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} = \phi(\mathbf{P}) - \phi(\mathbf{P}) = 0$$



Let C_1 and C_2 be two different paths connecting **P** and **Q**



If we reverse direction along path C_2 , we get a closed loop C.





$$\oint_C \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} = \int_{C_1} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} - \int_{C_2} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}}$$

Thus, line integrals of $\vec{\mathbf{F}}$ are path independent if and only if all closed loop of $\vec{\mathbf{F}}$ are 0.

Application to Fluids

In fluid dynamics, $\oint_C \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}}$ is called the *circulation* of the vector field





If $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$ is the velocity vector field of an ideal fluid then the circulation is zero.

$$\oint_C \vec{\mathbf{v}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} = 0$$

Circulation Per Unit Area

 $\frac{1}{\text{Area}}\oint_C \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}}$



$$\frac{1}{\text{Area}} \oint_C \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}}$$

The circulation per unit area is called the *circulation density*. To find this around one point, take a small region around this point and integrate around the boundary.



Keep making the area smaller. The limit is the circulation per unit area at a point.



$$\lim_{\text{Area}\to 0} \frac{1}{\text{Area}} \oint_C \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}}$$

There is a formula for this limit in terms of the partial derivatives of the coordinates of \vec{F}

Some preliminaries:

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

Some preliminaries:

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h}$$

Some preliminaries:

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f\left(x + \frac{h}{2}\right) - f\left(x - \frac{h}{2}\right)}{h}$$

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f\left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}\right) - f\left(x - \frac{\Delta x}{2}\right)}{\Delta x}$$

We can approximate the area under a curve by taking the height in the middle and multiplying by the length at the base.



The approximation improves when h is small.

$$\int_{a}^{a+h} f(x) \, dx \approx f\left(a + \frac{h}{2}\right) \cdot h$$



Let C be a closed rectangular loop in the xy plane. The height of this rectangle is Δy and the base is Δx . There is a point (x, y) in the center of this loop.



$$\oint_C \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} = \int_{C_1} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} + \int_{C_2} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} + \int_{C_3} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} + \int_{C_4} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}}$$



Coordinates of the 4 corners of this rectangle:



Let's start with path C_1



$$\int_{C_1} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} = \int_{C_1} F_1 \, dx + F_2 \, dy = \int_{C_1} F_2 \, dy$$

Let's start with path C_1



$$\int_{C_1} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} = \int_{C_1} F_2 \, dy \approx F_2 \left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, \ y \right) \cdot \Delta y$$

$$\int_{C_3} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} = \int_{C_3} F_2 \, dy$$
$$\approx -F_2 \left(x - \frac{\Delta x}{2}, y \right) \cdot \Delta y$$



$$\begin{split} &\int_{C_1} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} + \int_{C_3} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} \\ &\approx F_2 \left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, y \right) \Delta y - F_2 \left(x - \frac{\Delta x}{2}, y \right) \Delta y \\ &= \left(\frac{F_2 \left(x + \frac{\Delta x}{2}, y \right) \Delta y - F_2 \left(x - \frac{\Delta x}{2}, y \right)}{\Delta x} \right) \Delta x \Delta y \\ &\approx \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} \Delta x \Delta y \end{split}$$

Next, we repeat this argument for paths C_2 and C_4



$$\oint_{C} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{C_{i}} \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{z}_{3} \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_{4} \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_{4} \\ \mathbf{z}_{4} \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_{4} \\ \mathbf{x} \end{array}$$

$$\oint \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} \approx \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} \,\Delta x \,\Delta y - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y} \,\Delta x \,\Delta y$$
$$\frac{1}{\text{Area}} \oint \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} \approx \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y}$$

The error in this approximation goes to 0 as Δx and Δy go to 0.

$$\lim_{\text{Area}\to 0} \frac{1}{\text{Area}} \oint \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y}$$

So, the significance of the expression:

$$\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y}$$

is that it represents the circulation density at (x, y)in a plane parallel to the xy plane. We could have taken our region to be a rectangle parallel to the yz plane

$$\lim_{\text{Area}\to 0} \frac{1}{\text{Area}} \oint \vec{\mathbf{F}} \bullet d\vec{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z}$$



$$\nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{F}} = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{\mathbf{i}} & \vec{\mathbf{j}} & \vec{\mathbf{k}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ F_1 & F_2 & F_3 \end{vmatrix} \\ = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ F_2 & F_3 \end{vmatrix} \left| \vec{\mathbf{i}} - \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ F_1 & F_3 \end{vmatrix} \left| \vec{\mathbf{j}} + \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\ F_1 & F_2 \end{vmatrix} \right| \vec{\mathbf{k}} \\ = \left(\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z} \right) \vec{\mathbf{i}} - \left(\frac{\partial F_3}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z} \right) \vec{\mathbf{j}} + \left(\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y} \right) \vec{\mathbf{k}} \end{aligned}$$

Circulation density at a point in the yz plane:

$$(\nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}) \bullet \vec{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial z}$$

Circulation density at a point in the xz plane:

$$(\nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}) \bullet \vec{\mathbf{j}} = \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial x}$$

Circulation density at a point in the xy plane:

$$(\nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}) \bullet \vec{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y}$$

Let $\vec{\mathbf{n}}$ be the unit normal vector to a closed loop in any plane.



 $(\nabla\times\vec{F})\bullet\vec{n}$ is the circulation density in the plane perpendicular to \vec{n}



$$(\nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}) \bullet \vec{\mathbf{n}} = |\nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}| \cos \theta$$

This is maximized with $\theta = 0$ in which case:

$$(\nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}) \bullet \vec{\mathbf{n}} = |\nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}|$$



Particle orbiting in a circle of radius a with an angular velocity of ω

$$\vec{\mathbf{r}} = x\vec{\mathbf{i}} + y\vec{\mathbf{j}} = (a\cos\omega t)\vec{\mathbf{i}} + (a\sin\omega t)\vec{\mathbf{j}}$$

 $\vec{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{d\vec{\mathbf{r}}}{dt} = (-\omega a \sin \omega t)\vec{\mathbf{i}} + (\omega a \cos \omega t)\vec{\mathbf{j}} = -\omega y\vec{\mathbf{i}} + \omega x\vec{\mathbf{j}}$



$$\vec{\mathbf{v}} = -\omega y \vec{\mathbf{i}} + \omega x \vec{\mathbf{j}}$$
$$\nabla \times \vec{\mathbf{v}} = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{\mathbf{i}} & \vec{\mathbf{j}} & \vec{\mathbf{k}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ -\omega y & \omega x & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 2\omega \vec{\mathbf{k}}$$

